Complex Regression for Complex Data

Rosa M. Crujeiras and María Alonso-Pena

Statistics and Operations Research University of Santiago de Compostela (Spain)



New Bridges between Mathematics and Data Science

Motivation

- Complex is not always big (of course dimensionality poses challenges and it is a complexity)
- Complex by their intrinsic nature (even though they may be usual quantities)
- Complex by relations:

$$\mathbb{E}(Y|X=x) = m(x)$$
 (mean regression)

(the conditional mean approach may not be enough in some scenarios)

Goal of this talk: introduce a multimodal (complex) regression tool for circular (complex) data

Our example

- Escape behavior Φ (random circular variable)
- Stimulus: (robot) predator
- Experiment on larval zebrafish



Ipsilateral

Nair, A., Changsing, K., Stewart, W.J. and McHenry, M.J. (2017) Fish prey change strategy with the direction of a threat

Proceedings of the Royal Society B

Larvae escape direction: sample $\Phi_i \in (-\pi,\pi], \ i=1,\ldots,n$





Circular mean

$$\hat{\mu} = \operatorname{atan2}\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sin\Phi_{i}, \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\cos\Phi_{i}\right)$$

Density estimation: parametric model vs. nonparametric density





von Mises density

$$f(\phi;\mu,\kappa) = \frac{1}{2\pi I_0(\kappa)} \exp\{\kappa \cos(\phi - \mu)\}\$$

R.M. Crujeiras and M. Alonso-Pena (NBMDS)

kernel density estimator

$$\hat{f}(\phi;\kappa) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} K_{\kappa}(\phi - \Phi_i)$$

In our example: (Θ_i, Φ_i) , $i = 1, \ldots, n$, stimulus direction and escape direction



The naïve approach ...



Nair, A., Changsing, K., Stewart, W.J. and McHenry, M.J. (2017) Fish prey change strategy with the direction of a threat

Proceedings of the Royal Society B

R.M. Crujeiras and M. Alonso-Pena (NBMDS)

In our example: (Θ_i, Φ_i) , $i = 1, \ldots, n$, stimulus direction and escape direction



Nair, A., Changsing, K., Stewart, W.J. and McHenry, M.J. (2017) Fish prey change strategy with the direction of a threat

Proceedings of the Royal Society B

R.M. Crujeiras and M. Alonso-Pena (NBMDS)

Circular regression

$$Y = m(\Theta) + \varepsilon \qquad \qquad \Phi = [m(X) + \varepsilon](\mathsf{mod}2\pi) \qquad \Phi = [m(\Theta) + \varepsilon](\mathsf{mod}2\pi)$$



 $\begin{array}{c} \text{Circular predictor} \\ \text{Real-valued response} \\ (\Theta, Y) \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{c} \mbox{Real-valued predictor} \\ \mbox{Circular response} \\ (X, \Phi) \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{c} \mbox{Circular predictor}\\ \mbox{Circular response}\\ (\Theta, \Phi) \end{array}$

In our example: (Θ_i, Φ_i) , $i = 1, \ldots, n$, stimulus direction and escape direction



Di Marzio, Panzera and Taylor (2012) Non-parametric regression for circular responses

Scandinavian Journal of Statistics

R.M. Crujeiras and M. Alonso-Pena (NBMDS)

Multimodal circular regression



Circular predictor Real-valued response (Θ, Y) $\begin{array}{c} \mbox{Real-valued predictor} \\ \mbox{Circular response} \\ (X, \Phi) \end{array}$

Circular predictor Circular response (Θ, Φ)

Multimodal circular regression: Circular predictor - Real-valued response

The regression multifunction is given by

$$M(\theta) = \left\{ y \in \mathbb{R} : \frac{\partial}{\partial y} f(y|\theta) = 0, \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} f(y|\theta) < 0 \right\}$$



Estimation: indirect approach, estimating the conditional density

$$\hat{f}(y|\theta) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} K_{\kappa}(\theta - \Theta_i) L_h(y - Y_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} K_{\kappa}(\theta - \Theta_i)}$$

Estimated regression multifunction:

$$\hat{M}(\theta) = \left\{ y \in \mathbb{R} : \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \hat{f}(y|\theta) = 0, \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \hat{f}(y|\theta) < 0 \right\}$$

R.M. Crujeiras and M. Alonso-Pena (NBMDS)

Computation of the modes: conditional mean shift algorithm

The critical point condition yields a fixed-point equation:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\hat{f}(y|\theta) = 0 \iff y = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} K_{\kappa}(\theta - \Theta_{i})G\left(\frac{y - Y_{i}}{h}\right)Y_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} K_{\kappa}(\theta - \Theta_{i})G\left(\frac{y - Y_{i}}{h}\right)}$$

| | - |
|--|---|
| | |
| | |

Fukunaga, K. and Hostetler, L. (1975)

The estimation of the gradient of a density function, with applications in pattern recognition

IEEE Transactions on Information Theory

Chen, Y.C. et al. (2016) Nonparametric modal regression *The Annals of Statistics*



Cheng, Y. (1995)

Mean shift, mode seeking and clustering IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence

Einbeck, J. and Tutz, G (2006)

Modelling beyond regression functions: an application of multimodal regression to speed-flow data Applied Statistics

Algorithm: circular predictor - real-valued response

Sample $\{(\Theta_i, Y_i)\}_{i=1}^n$, smoothing parameters κ and h.

- 1. Initialize mesh points $\mathcal{T} \subset (-\pi, \pi]$.
- 2. For each $\theta \in \mathcal{T}$, select starting points $y_0^{(1)}(\theta), ..., y_0^{(p)}(\theta)$.
- 3. For each $\theta \in \mathcal{T}$ and for k = 1, ..., p iterate until convergence:

$$y_{l+1}^{(k)} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} K_{\kappa}(\theta - \Theta_{i}) G\left(\frac{y_{l}^{(k)} - Y_{i}}{h}\right) Y_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} K_{\kappa}(\theta - \Theta_{i}) G\left(\frac{y_{l}^{(k)} - Y_{i}}{h}\right)}, \quad \text{with} \quad l = 0, 1, \dots$$

R.M. Crujeiras and M. Alonso-Pena (NBMDS)

Multimodal circular regression: Circular response

The variable Δ denotes a general covariate ($\Delta = X$ or $\Delta = \Theta$) The regression multifunction is given by

$$M(\delta) = \left\{ \phi \in \mathbb{S}^1 : \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} f(\phi|\delta) = 0, \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \phi^2} f(\phi|\delta) < 0 \right\}$$



Zhang, Y. and Chen, Y.C. (To appear)

Kernel smoothing, mean shift and their learning theory with directional data Journal of Machine Learning Research

R.M. Crujeiras and M. Alonso-Pena (NBMDS)

Estimation of the regression multifunction (indirect approach)

• $\Delta = X$ (real-valued predictor)

$$\hat{f}(\phi|x) \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} L_h(x-X_i) K_\kappa(\phi - \Phi_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} L_h(x-X_i)}$$

 $\blacktriangleright \Delta = \Theta \text{ (circular predictor)}$

$$\hat{f}(\phi|\theta) \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} K_{\nu}(\theta - \Theta_{i}) K_{\kappa}(\phi - \Phi_{i})}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} K_{\nu}(\theta - \Theta_{i})}$$

In short:

$$\hat{f}(\phi|\delta) = \frac{1}{n\hat{f}(\delta)} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{\delta}(\Delta_i) K_{\kappa}(\phi - \Phi_i), \quad \hat{f}(\delta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{\delta}(\Delta_i)$$

Estimation of the regression multifunction

Estimated regression multifunction

$$\hat{M}(\delta) = \left\{ \phi \in \mathbb{S}^1 : \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \hat{f}(\phi|\delta) = 0, \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \phi^2} \hat{f}(\phi|x) < 0 \right\}$$

For the critical point condition:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \hat{f}(\phi|\delta) = \frac{\kappa c_{\kappa}}{n\hat{f}(\delta)} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{\delta}(\Delta_i) K'[\kappa(1 - \cos(\phi - \Phi_i))] \sin(\phi - \Phi_i)$$

R.M. Crujeiras and M. Alonso-Pena (NBMDS)

Estimation of the regression multifunction

Expanding the last expression and equating to zero, we get:

 $\phi = \operatorname{atan2}\left(S_{\delta}(\phi), C_{\delta}(\phi)\right)$

where we denote

$$S_{\delta}(\phi) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{\delta}(\Delta_i) T(\phi - \Phi_i) \sin \Phi_i$$

$$C_{\delta}(\phi) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{\delta}(\Delta_i) T(\phi - \Phi_i) \cos \Phi_i$$

and $T(\cdot)$ is proportional to $K'[\kappa(1-\cos(\cdot))]$.

Algorithm: circular response

Sample $\{(\Delta_i, \Phi_i)\}_{i=1}^n$, smoothing parameters κ and h/ν .

- 1. Initialize mesh points $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{R}$ if $\Delta = X$ or $\mathcal{T} \subset (-\pi, \pi]$ if $\Delta = \Theta$.
- 2. For each $\delta \in \mathcal{S}$ (or $\delta \in \mathcal{T}$), select starting points $\phi_0^{(1)}(\delta), ..., \phi_0^{(p)}(\delta)$

3. For k = 1, ..., p iterate until convergence:

$$\phi_{l+1}^{(k)} = \operatorname{atan2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n w_\delta(\Delta_i) T(\phi_l^{(k)} - \Phi_i) \sin \Phi_i, \sum_{i=1}^n w_\delta(\Delta_i) T(\phi_l^{(k)} - \Phi_i) \cos \Phi_i\right)$$

with $l = 0, 1, \ldots$

Behavior of the smoothing parameters

Fixed h, varying κ

Fixed κ , varying h

- The parameter associated to the predictor controls the smoothing
- The parameter associated to the response affects the number of estimated branches

Pointwise error for a real-valued response

$$\begin{split} \Lambda(\theta) &= \mathsf{Haus}(M(\theta), \hat{M}(\theta)) \\ \mathsf{Haus}(A, B) &= \max \left\{ \sup_{x \in A} d(x, B), \sup_{x \in B} d(x, A) \right\} \\ & d(x, A) &= \inf_{z \in A} |x - z| \end{split}$$



Pointwise error for a circular response

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\Lambda}(\delta) &= \widetilde{\mathsf{Haus}}(M(\delta), \hat{M}(\delta)) \\ \widetilde{\mathsf{Haus}}(A, B) &= \max \left\{ \sup_{x \in A} \tilde{d}(x, B), \sup_{x \in B} \tilde{d}(x, A) \right\} & \overbrace{}^{*} \overset{*}{\overset{*}} \overset{*}{\overset{*}} & \overbrace{}^{*} \overset{*}{\overset{*}} \\ \tilde{d}(x, A) &= \inf_{z \in A} 1 - \cos(x - z) \end{split}$$

| | | $\tau = 6$ | | $\tau = 8$ | | $\tau = 10$ | |
|-------|--------------|------------|-------|------------|-------|-------------|-------|
| Model | (n_1, n_2) | В | CV | В | CV | В | CV |
| LC-1 | (100, 100) | 0.021 | 0.034 | 0.016 | 0.023 | 0.013 | 0.018 |
| | (100, 200) | 0.016 | 0.039 | 0.012 | 0.024 | 0.011 | 0.018 |
| | (200, 200) | 0.011 | 0.019 | 0.008 | 0.012 | 0.007 | 0.010 |
| | (200, 300) | 0.010 | 0.016 | 0.007 | 0.011 | 0.006 | 0.009 |
| | (300, 300) | 0.007 | 0.012 | 0.006 | 0.008 | 0.005 | 0.006 |
| CC-1 | (100, 100) | 0.144 | 0.395 | 0.117 | 0.213 | 0.102 | 0.148 |
| | (100, 200) | 0.120 | 0.182 | 0.097 | 0.147 | 0.087 | 0.120 |
| | (200, 200) | 0.066 | 0.089 | 0.054 | 0.066 | 0.047 | 0.057 |
| | (200, 300) | 0.058 | 0.068 | 0.046 | 0.054 | 0.040 | 0.045 |
| | (300, 300) | 0.042 | 0.056 | 0.035 | 0.045 | 0.030 | 0.038 |

 $\widetilde{\mathsf{CMIE}}_m(\hat{M}) = \mathbb{E}\left[\int \tilde{\Lambda}(\delta) d\delta\right] \to 0 \text{ as } n \text{ grows}.$

B: benchmark (optimal) CV: modal cross-validation selector.

 τ : controls the data concentration (from less to more concentrated).

Back to the example: escape direction vs. predator direction approach



Mean regression: ipsilateral escape (from rostral approach); contralateral escape (from caudal approach).

Multimodal regression: two trends, ipsilateral/contralateral when stimulus appears from peripherical vision (rostral/caudal); third trend, contralateral, if stimulus is not peripherical.

Prediction sets



R.M. Crujeiras and M. Alonso-Pena (NBMDS)

Thanks!

$\label{eq:Grant PID2020-116587GB-I00 funded by} MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033 \mbox{ and the European Union}.$





Alonso-Pena, M. and Crujeiras, R.M. (2021) Nonparametric multimodal regression for circular data *arXiV* (under 2nd revision)